

Message

From: Strauss, Linda [Strauss.Linda@epa.gov]
Sent: 6/23/2017 3:44:07 PM
To: Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy [Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov]; Beck, Nancy [Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: ORD + OCSPP ACTION: ABC Darwin re: PFAS

Great! Sometimes we make this harder than it has to be!

From: Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 11:41 AM
To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ORD + OCSPP ACTION: ABC Darwin re: PFAS

Me too.

Wendy Cleland-Hamnett
Acting Assistant Administrator
Principal Deputy Assistant Administrator
Office of Chemical Safety & Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
202-564-2910
cleland-hamnett.wendy@epa.gov

From: Beck, Nancy
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 11:36 AM
To: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>
Cc: Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy <Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ORD + OCSPP ACTION: ABC Darwin re: PFAS

Ok by me.
Thank you.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273

Personal Phone / Ex. 6

beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Strauss, Linda
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 10:50 AM
To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>
Cc: Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy <Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ORD + OCSPP ACTION: ABC Darwin re: PFAS

Did that here. OK to go?

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Reporter: Nadia Daly
Outlet ABC Darwin (Australia)
DDL: 6/22, 3 p.m.

Request:

I am looking to build a complete, nuanced picture of how PFAS is understood in the international scientific community. Here are my questions

1. How would you describe the link (if any) between PFAS and human health risks/disease?
2. What are EPA recommendations around the continued use of PFAS chemicals in firefighting foam (for example at airports)
3. How are the Australian guidelines different to those of the US EPA and are the Australian guidelines comprehensive enough and considered sufficient by the international scientific community?
([http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/2200FE086D480353CA2580C900817CDC/\\$File/fs-Health-Based-Guidance-Values.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/2200FE086D480353CA2580C900817CDC/$File/fs-Health-Based-Guidance-Values.pdf) and [http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/2200FE086D480353CA2580C900817CDC/\\$File/Consolidated-report-perflourinated-chemicals-food.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/2200FE086D480353CA2580C900817CDC/$File/Consolidated-report-perflourinated-chemicals-food.pdf))
4. The Australian Department of Health states “There is currently no consistent evidence that exposure to PFOS and PFOA causes adverse human health effects.”
([http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/A12B57E41EC9F326CA257BF0001F9E7D/\\$File/PFAS-guidance-statement-15June2016.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/A12B57E41EC9F326CA257BF0001F9E7D/$File/PFAS-guidance-statement-15June2016.pdf)) However this appears to be a slightly different to what the EPA has found in its research. What is your view on that?

From: Beck, Nancy
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 10:40 AM
To: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>
Cc: Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy <Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov>
Subject: Fwd: ORD + OCSP ACTION: ABC Darwin re: PFAS

Linda,

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273

Personal Phone / Ex. 6

Beck.Nancy@epa.gov

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Doa, Maria" <Doa.Maria@epa.gov>
Date: June 23, 2017 at 9:09:58 AM EDT
To: "Strauss, Linda" <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>, "Morris, Jeff" <Morris.Jeff@epa.gov>
Cc: "Pierce, Alison" <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>, "Krasnic, Toni" <krasnic.toni@epa.gov>, "Beck, Nancy" <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>, "Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy" <Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ORD + OCSPP ACTION: ABC Darwin re: PFAS

This is what we have said:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Maria J. Doa, Ph.D.
Director
Chemical Control Division
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
United States Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20016

Tel. 202.566.0718

From: Strauss, Linda
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 8:15 AM
To: Doa, Maria <Doa.Maria@epa.gov>; Morris, Jeff <Morris.Jeff@epa.gov>
Cc: Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>; Krasnic, Toni <krasnic.toni@epa.gov>; Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy <Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ORD + OCSPP ACTION: ABC Darwin re: PFAS

Now due Monday COB b/c we just got an extension.

From: Doa, Maria

Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 9:37 PM

To: Morris, Jeff <Morris.Jeff@epa.gov>

Cc: Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>; Krasnic, Toni <krasnic.toni@epa.gov>; Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy <Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: ORD + OCSPP ACTION: ABC Darwin re: PFAS

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 22, 2017, at 6:33 PM, Morris, Jeff <Morris.Jeff@epa.gov> wrote:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Jeff Morris

Office of Pollution Prevention & Toxics
US EPA

On Jun 22, 2017, at 5:36 PM, Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov> wrote:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

ALISON PIERCE

Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20460 USA

PIERCE.ALISON@EPA.GOV
202.564.2437

From: Strauss, Linda

Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 5:36 PM

To: Morris, Jeff <Morris.Jeff@epa.gov>; Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>

Cc: Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy <Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>; Doa, Maria <Doa.Maria@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: ORD + OCSPP ACTION: ABC Darwin re: PFAS

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Morris, Jeff
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 12:58 PM
To: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>
Cc: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy <Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>; Doa, Maria <Doa.Maria@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: ORD + OCSPP ACTION: ABC Darwin re: PFAS

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Sent from my iPad

On Jun 22, 2017, at 12:53 PM, Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Thanks.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273

Personal Phone / Ex. 6

beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Strauss, Linda
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2017 12:51 PM
To: Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy <Cleland-Hamnett.Wendy@epa.gov>; Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Morris, Jeff <Morris.Jeff@epa.gov>
Cc: Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: ORD + OCSPP ACTION: ABC Darwin re: PFAS

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Reporter: Nadia Daly
Outlet ABC Darwin (Australia)
DDL: 6/22, 3 p.m.

Request:

I am looking to build a complete, nuanced picture of how PFAS is understood in the international scientific community. Here are my questions

1. How would you describe the link (if any) between PFAS and human health risks/disease?
2. What are EPA recommendations around the continued use of PFAS chemicals in firefighting foam (for example at airports)
3. How are the Australian guidelines different to those of the US EPA and are the Australian guidelines comprehensive enough and considered sufficient by the international scientific community?
([http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/2200FE086D480353CA2580C900817CDC/\\$File/fs-Health-Based-Guidance-Values.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/2200FE086D480353CA2580C900817CDC/$File/fs-Health-Based-Guidance-Values.pdf) and [http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/2200FE086D480353CA2580C900817CDC/\\$File/Consolidated-report-perflourinated-chemicals-food.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/2200FE086D480353CA2580C900817CDC/$File/Consolidated-report-perflourinated-chemicals-food.pdf))
4. The Australian Department of Health states “There is currently no consistent evidence that exposure to PFOS and PFOA causes adverse human health effects.”
([http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/A12B57E41EC9F326CA257BF0001F9E7D/\\$File/PFAS-guidance-statement-15June2016.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/A12B57E41EC9F326CA257BF0001F9E7D/$File/PFAS-guidance-statement-15June2016.pdf)) However this appears to be a slightly different to what the EPA has found in its research. What is your view on that?